AGE OF BLACK COLOURED LAMINAEE WITHIN SPELEOTHEMS FROM DOMICA CAVE AND ITS SIGNIFICANCE FOR DATING OF PREHISTORIC HUMAN SETTLEMENT
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Abstract: The paper deals with the black coloured laminae which occur within speleothems in Domica cave (Slovakia). The laminae are composed of non completely carbonized organic compounds and charcoal particles. The components were formed during combustion of plant material, mainly wood, inside the cave. Thus, they are a by-product of human activity inside the cave. The radiocarbon ages of organic fraction of these laminae fall between 6460 and 6640 cal BP and 7160 and 7330 cal BP. These dates indicate that the origin of the laminae is connected with two episodes of prehistoric occupation of the cave. The first one should be related either to later part of Gemer Linear Pottery or to early Bükk culture populations. The second episode refers to the youngest phase of human occupation in Domica cave reflecting the last period of Bükk populations' existence in the Slovak Karst.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The caves all over the world were frequently used by prehistoric humans as, more or less, periodical shelters. Hence, human activity is often recorded within caves. Archaeological materials such as different tools or pottery are commonly found within cave clastic deposits. The most spectacular traces of human activity are wall paintings. Moreover, the black coloured laminae inside speleothems are also genetically connected with prehistoric men’s activity in caves. The laminae are dyed by small organic compounds originated during combustion of plant material within caves. Gradziński et al. (2003) described such laminae in stalagmites and flowstones from the caves of southern Poland. Similar origin was ascribed to black material found on the surface of cave walls in United States (Bennington et al., 1962, Watson, 1966; Hill, 1982; Steelman et al., 2002). The spectacular black coloured laminae were detected in speleothems from Domica cave (Roth, 1948; Petránek and Pouba, 1951; Gradziński et al., 2002), which is famous for human occupation during Neolithic period representing especially the Bükk culture (Bárta, 1965; Lichardus, 1968). Similar laminae were also found in other caves of the Slovak Karst region (Bárta, 1984).

Several attempts have been made to estimate the age of the prehistoric human activity in caves. The $^{14}$C method has been commonly used by dating different materials, such as human remains, animal bones and charcoal particles. In the recent years the application of accelerator mass spectrometry (AMS) method has allowed measuring of $^{14}$C concentration in the relatively small samples, which in turn enabled dating such objects as pigments used for cave paintings (Valladas et al., 2001). This paper presents the application of AMS.
method to date organic compounds occurring within the black coloured laminae in speleothems from Domica cave. The significance of the obtained dates for chronology of Slovak Neolithic is discussed as well since there have been reported only a few dates connected with the Bükk culture so far (Vogel and Waterbolk, 1964; Bárt, 1965; Breuning, 1987).

2. SPELEOLOGICAL SETTING

Domica cave is located in the Slovak Karst, near the Slovak–Hungarian border (Fig. 1). The name Domica refers to the Slovak part of a big cave with total length of about 25 km, whose Hungarian part is named Baradla. Domica cave is more than 5000 m long (Droppa, 1970). The cave comprises several spacious rooms and passages. It is also famous for numerous giant speleothems. A perennial underground stream, called Styx, flows through the lowermost part of the cave.

Domica cave was settled by prehistoric humans in Upper Palaeolithic and mainly during Neolithic (Bárt, 1965; Lichardus, 1968 and 1974; Soják, 2005). Afterwards the entrance was blocked by a colluvial deposits and the cave was not accessible until the twenties of the last century. There are four main periods of Neolithic settlement. According to Lichardus (1974), the first one embraces black painted pottery of so called Domica type (Domica Ia), painted and polished pottery with possibly proto-Vinča features (Domica Ib), as well as Gemen Linear Pottery (Domica Ic). Most probably, all these pottery groups belong to the middle and late Eastern Linear Pottery culture (Pavuk and Šiška, 1980; Šiška 1989). The second, third and fourth period should be connected with the Bükk culture (Bárt, 1965; Lichardus, 1968 and 1974; Titov, 1980; Soják, 2005). Many archaeological materials were found in Domica cave, which comprises more than 45 000 fragments of pottery as well as numerous lithic and bone artefacts. More than 85% of archaeological remains belong to the Bükk culture and thus the cave is one of the most important sites of this culture. Neolithic man settled the cave several hundred years. It is Lichardus’ (1968) belief that the cave was utilized periodically, probably during winter seasons, as a kind of station for pasturing activity. Moreover, in Bárt’s (1965) and Lichardus’ (1968) opinion, the cave was also a place of subterranean exploitation of fine grained clastic deposits for producing pottery, a source of water, as well as, a holy place. The distribution of archaeological remains and foot traces indicate that the zone of human activity in the cave included also its deep, completely dark sections, as far as a few hundred metres away from the entrance.

3. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The laminae were found in several small stalagmites as well as in the flowstone covers situated in the chamber called Sieň odvahy (Hall of Courage) and the lower part of the passage called Panenská chodba (Virgin Passage) (Fig. 1). It is noteworthy that in the chamber Sieň odvahy there is a vessel belonging to Bükk culture partly coated with thin flowstone cover.

![Fig. 1. Location of Domica cave and map of the central part of the cave (after Droppa 1970) showing position of sampling places.](image)

Polished slabs of speleothems were studied. The observations were then extended through thin section analyses. The black coloured laminae were cut out of the samples, then washed in distilled water and dissolved in 2% HCl. The dissolved residuum were examined under scanning electron microscope (SEM) JEOL 5410, while their chemical composition was determined using an analyser Voyager 3100 (EDS) connected to the microscope.

Two ca. 1 cm thick flowstone samples with black coloured laminae were chosen for dating. The sample labelled as Dom S1 derived from the passage Panenská chodba while the sample labelled as Dom S2 from the upper part of the chamber Sieň odvahy (Fig. 1). Radiocarbon dating have been performed in Poznań Radiocarbon Laboratory using AMS technique (Goslar et al., 2004). The $^{14}$C concentration of the samples was measured in the spectrometer "Compact Carbon AMS" produced by National Electrostatics Corporation, USA. Standard sample pretreatment procedure described by Czernik and Goslar (2001) was used after preliminary separation of black lamiae. At the NaOH treatment all the sample have been dissolved and radiocarbon dating have been performed on NaOH soluble fraction. Quoted errors are 1 standard deviation and the age is conventional radiocarbon age. Radiocarbon dates obtained in this work as well as radiocarbon dates of charcoal from Domica Cave published earlier (Vogel and Waterbolk, 1964; Bárt, 1965; Breuning, 1987) have been calibrated using OxCal v. 3.10 (Bronk Ramsey, 1995 and 2001) with calibration curve for atmospheric data from Reimer et al. (2004).

4. RESULTS

Black coloured laminae

Macroscopically, the black coloured laminae are less than 0.8 cm thick (Fig. 2). Commonly, one macroscopi-
cally visible lamina is composed of a few intensely coloured laminae, which can be observed under the microscope. The laminae in question interlayer with laminae built of small calcite crystals (Fig. 3). The opaque and semiopaque components forming dark coloured laminae occur on uncorroded calcite crystals and, seldom, on corrosional surfaces (Gradziński et al., 2002). In the former case they wrap the crystal terminations with the layer so thick that younger crystals start to grow competitively (Fig. 3; see González et al., 1992).

The dark coloured laminae comprise semiopaque components and opaque particles. The latter possess angular shape and jet black colour. They differ in size and shape. The smallest are only some micrometers long, while the largest are up to a few millimetres long. The shape of opaque particles is commonly isometric; however, some particles display elongate shape. The opaque particles are non-fluorescent. All the above characteristics prove that particles in question are charcoal fragments (Patterson et al., 1987; Jones and Chaloner, 1991). The above statement is confirmed by the observation of their internal structures by means of SEM (Fig. 4). The majority of the particles is characterized by homogenization of cell wall ultrastructure (Sander and Gee, 1990; Jones and Chaloner, 1991; Vaughan and Nicols, 1995). Some of them are destroyed by cracking, which leads to disintegration of larger particles. The processes of homogenization are due to combustion of wood in the temperature of about 400°C (Jones and Chaloner, 1991; Vaughan and Nicols, 1995).

The semiopaque components are intensively brown in transparent light and display yellow-brown UV fluorescence. They are hydrophobic but soluble in NaOH solution. It indicates that they are composed of non carbonized organic compounds (Teichmüller and Wolf, 1977; Dravies and Yurevicz, 1985). These components occur mixed together with charcoal particles.

**Radiocarbon age of black coloured laminae**

Obtained results of radiocarbon dating are presented in Table 1. The age is conventional radiocarbon age. Calibration results are presented in Table 1 and Fig. 5. Organic fraction constituting soot from Dom S1 (Poz-2444) sample was deposited between 6460 and 6640 cal BP, while from Dom S2 (Poz-2442) sample between 7160 and 7330 cal BP. The age of both samples are significantly different. Hence time span between human occupations indicated by these samples is minimum ca. 500 years.

**5. DISCUSSION**

**Origin of black coloured laminae**

The black coloured laminae are dyed by soot composed of charcoal particles and non completely carbon-
Table 1. Dating and calibration results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample, laboratory number</th>
<th>¹⁴C age (yr BP)</th>
<th>68.2% conf. intervals</th>
<th>95.4% conf. intervals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dom S1 Poz-2444</td>
<td>5750±30</td>
<td>6620-6580</td>
<td>6640-6640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dom S2 Poz-2442</td>
<td>6320±40</td>
<td>7290-7170</td>
<td>7330-7160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kečovo* GN-2435</td>
<td>6080±75</td>
<td>7160-7120</td>
<td>7170-6750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KN-I.249**</td>
<td>5830±170</td>
<td>6850-6440</td>
<td>7200-6250</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* sample delivered by J Bárta and cited by Vogel and Waterbolk (1964)  
** sample delivered by J Lichardus and cited by Breuning (1987)

The radiocarbon dating of black coloured laminae within speleothems can add a new dimension to the discussion on the chronology of the Bükk culture in southeastern Slovakia. However, the prehistoric interpretation of the two dates obtained from black coloured laminae in speleothems evokes some difficulties due to three main reasons.

Archaeological context

The radiocarbon dating of black coloured laminae from Domica cave has not been published to date. Consequently, we have no meticulous information referring to the distribution of the phases of human occupation in all parts of the cave. Similarly, our understanding of stratigraphical arrangement of cultural layers in the cave is far from being exhaustive. For instance, the stratigraphical context of "old" date GrN-2435 is obscure; hence, we are not able to correlate the date with any of human settlement stages directly. The only piece of information available says that the place of sampling was situated in eastern part of Sieň jednástich plameňov (Chamber of Eleven Flames; Bárta, 1965). Another ¹⁴C date from Domica cave is reported by Breuning (1987). Similarly, there are no data on the stratigraphical and archaeological context delivered there by J. Lichardus (KN-I.249), however the latter date spreads over the longer time span due to significant standard deviation. It is worth mentioning that the samples GrN-2435 and KN-I.249 were dated using conventional measurement technique, which means that mass of the samples are several times bigger than samples for AMS measurements.

From the theoretical point of view three possible scenarios can explain this dating results. First scenario is that there were at least two phases of human occupation in Domica cave. The first is indicated by Dom S1 sample, and the second by Dom S2 and possibly J. Lichardus’ (KN-I.249) samples. The relatively huge sample (labeled Kečovo) delivered by J. Bárta for dating could have been a mixture of two generation of charcoal connected with these two phases. If we assume simple mixing of Dom S1 and Dom S2 samples for obtaining age equal 6080 yr BP we need almost proportional mixing (40% of Dom S1 and 60% of Dom S2 samples material).

The second scenario assumes that there was one period of human occupation in Domica cave. That period was long but not necessary continuous. The date GrN-2435, obtained for a big sample has yielded us middle age of this period. Precise AMS dating based on small samples (Dom S1 and Dom S2) has given information about age of earlier and later episodes of cave penetration representing the same long period of human activity in the cave. Taking this into account one may assume that prehistoric man penetrate different part of the cave during different episodes.

The third scenario presumes that all four dates are the record of independent periods of human habitation in Domica cave separated by substantial settlement hiatuses. On account of occurrence of all Bükk culture pottery styles (Lichardus, 1968 and 1974) we are of the opinion that the second scenario is the most probable, at least with reference to the occupation of the cave by groups of this archeological unit.

The age of charcoals found by Bárta (1965) and dated in Groningen laboratory (GrN-2435; Vogel and Waterbolk, 1964) is exactly between the ages of "new" samples (Fig. 5). The age of younger sample (Dom S1) overlaps with the age of charcoals dated in Köln laboratory and the deep, remote from the entrance zone part of the cave. Similarly, our understanding of stratigraphical arrangement of cultural layers in the cave is far from being exhaustive. For instance, the stratigraphical context of "old" date GrN-2435 is obscure; hence, we are not able to correlate the date with any of human settlement stages directly. The only piece of information available says that the place of sampling was situated in eastern part of Sieň jednástich plameňov (Chamber of Eleven Flames; Bárta, 1965). Another ¹⁴C date from Domica cave is reported by Breuning (1987). Similarly, there are no data on the stratigraphical and archaeological context delivered there by J. Lichardus (KN-I.249), however the latter date spreads over the longer time span due to significant standard deviation. It is worth mentioning that the samples GrN-2435 and KN-I.249 were dated using conventional measurement technique, which means that mass of the samples are several times bigger than samples for AMS measurements.

From the theoretical point of view three possible scenarios can explain this dating results. First scenario is that there were at least two phases of human occupation in Domica cave. The first is indicated by Dom S1 sample, and the second by Dom S2 and possibly J. Lichardus’ (KN-I.249) samples. The relatively huge sample (labeled Kečovo) delivered by J. Bárta for dating could have been a mixture of two generation of charcoal connected with these two phases. If we assume simple mixing of Dom S1 and Dom S2 samples for obtaining age equal 6080 yr BP we need almost proportional mixing (40% of Dom S1 and 60% of Dom S2 samples material).

The second scenario assumes that there was one period of human occupation in Domica cave. That period was long but not necessary continuous. The date GrN-2435, obtained for a big sample has yielded us middle age of this period. Precise AMS dating based on small samples (Dom S1 and Dom S2) has given information about age of earlier and later episodes of cave penetration representing the same long period of human activity in the cave. Taking this into account one may assume that prehistoric man penetrate different part of the cave during different episodes.

The third scenario presumes that all four dates are the record of independent periods of human habitation in Domica cave separated by substantial settlement hiatuses. On account of occurrence of all Bükk culture pottery styles (Lichardus, 1968 and 1974) we are of the opinion that the second scenario is the most probable, at least with reference to the occupation of the cave by groups of this archeological unit.

Archaeological context

The radiocarbon dating of black coloured laminae from Domica cave has not been published to date. Consequently, we have no meticulous information referring to the distribution of the phases of human occupation in all parts of the cave. Similarly, our understanding of stratigraphical arrangement of cultural layers in the cave is far from being exhaustive. For instance, the stratigraphical context of "old" date GrN-2435 is obscure; hence, we are not able to correlate the date with any of human settlement stages directly. The only piece of information available says that the place of sampling was situated in eastern part of Sieň jednástich plameňov (Chamber of Eleven Flames; Bárta, 1965). Another ¹⁴C date from Domica cave is reported by Breuning (1987). Similarly, there are no data on the stratigraphical and archaeological context
of the charcoal sample delivered by J. Lichardus and dated at 5830±170 yr BP (KN-I.249) (Breuning, 1987).

Secondly, although existing descriptions and studies are very general, they nevertheless suggest that the two dates under discussion come from those parts of the cave (Panenská chodba and Sieh odvach) which were not intensively used. According to Bártá (1965) and Lichardus (1968) only stray finds of Neolithic age (inter alia the Bükk culture) were found in both places. We may suppose that no traces of cultural layer were identified there. Therefore, we have no direct premises for establishing the relationship between the dates and any of the settlement phases discerned by Lichardus (1968 and 1974) for Domica cave.

Thirdly, the amount of radiometric dates referring to the Bükk culture (which makes the prevailing part of archaeological material in Domica cave) is very limited to date. There are only three dates from Bükk contexts obtained in Eastern Slovakia: i) two aforementioned “old” dates from Domica, and ii) the unpublished date from the site of Slavkovce (Michalovce region) [Gd-10468: 6110±130 yr BP; excavations by M. Vizdal]. Radiometric data from Hungary that can be found in literature are imprecise. For example, in the paper by Hertelendi et al. (1995) 30 dates have been mentioned as referring to the horizon Szakálhat-Esztár-Bükk. However, there is no information which part of this set refers to the Bükk culture proper. We may ascertain that the majority of these dates belong to the Szakálhat group (see Hertelendi and Horváth, 1992). In effect, the absolute chronology of the Bükk culture has been worked out mainly on the basis of comparisons with absolute chronology of other Neolithic units.

If we take into account previous works (Lichardus, 1974; Kalicz and Makkay, 1977; Pavúk and Šiška, 1980; Šiška, 1989; Hertelendi and Horváth, 1992; Raczyk et al., 1994 and 2002; Hertelendi et al., 1995 and 1998), it seems quite possible that the formation process of the Bükk culture (in the Slovak Karst?) was contemporaneous to the late phases of Eastern Linear Pottery culture (AVK) groups: Tiszadob, Raškovce and Gemer Linear Pottery as well as to the late Notenkopf phase of the Linear Band Pottery culture (LBK). Therefore, we should date this process to ca. 7250/7150 cal BP. The further development of the culture under consideration is connected with significant enlargement of its territorial extent. In effect, Bükk culture embraced most areas of Eastern Slovakia and western section of North-Eastern Hungary (Kalicz and Makkay, 1977; Šiška, 1979 and 1998; Titov, 1980; Vizdal, 1998; Sojak, 2000). Its existence is considered as roughly parallel to the Szakálhat group and partly to Esztár group (both belong to AVK sensu largo), Želiezovce group (late LBK), and phase B of Vinča culture. Consequently, the absolute chronology of the Bükk culture should cover the period between ca. 7250/7150 and 6750 cal BP.

The older of the two “new” dates points at the very beginning of the foregoing chronological span of this archaeological unit. On the other hand, we should bear in mind that its probability distribution after calibration spreads over the period ca. 200 years (two sigma probability range) as well as that early Bükk and late AVK groups were contemporaneous. Therefore, it is our belief that two alternatives should be considered in regard to prehistoric interpretation. The date can be a reflection of human activity of either one of the later episodes of Gemer Linear Pottery or early (the earliest?) Bükk culture populations. In case of the former alternative, stages lb or lc of Domica settlement should be considered; in case of the latter one, phases Ha or Ib can be mentioned. Unfortunately, the present state of research makes the evaluation of probability of these options impossible.

In theory, the second date seems to be slightly late if we assess it from the Bükk chronology perspective. However, it must be emphasized again that the knowledge about Bükk chronology is based on circuitous data. Moreover, the disappearance of the Bükk culture is an extremely complicating question (Šiška, 1986 and 1995) due to lack of Late Neolithic settlement in every single area of the Bükk settlement (except Eastern Slovak Lowland – Šiška, 1979). In spite of some assumptions (Lichardus, 1968 and 1974; Šiška, 1995), traces of Bükk tradition have not been discovered within Late Neolithic groupings in other territories of the Carpathian Basin. Essentially, there are no hard premises for exact dating of the sudden (as they suppose) vanishing of the Bükk culture. Thus, we think that the date Poz-2444 can reflect the youngest (IV) phase of the human occupation in Domica Cave. Perhaps it should be regarded as an indication of the last period of Bükk populations’ existence in the Slovak Karst, in the second quarter of the seventh millennium BP.

6. CONCLUSIONS

1) Black coloured laminae occurring in speleothems in Domica cave are dyed due to non carbonized organic compounds and charcoal particles developed during wood combustion inside the cave.

2) The laminae are a good indicator of prehistoric occupation of the cave.

3) Radiocarbon AMS dating of organic fraction of black coloured laminae yielded calibrated ages falling between 6460 and 6640 years BP and 7160 and 7330 years BP.

4) The older date can be connected with human activity either of the later Gemer Linear Pottery or early (the earliest?) Bükk culture populations, while the younger one points to the youngest (IV) phase of the human occupation in Domica cave (Bükk culture phase C).
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